# ...Decisions... Decisions...Decisions



These notes indicate the decisions taken at this meeting and the officers responsible for taking the agreed action. For background documentation please refer to the agenda and supporting papers available on the Council's web site (<u>www.oxfordshire.gov.uk</u>.)

The decisions take effect at the time and date specified, unless before that time written notice is given in accordance with the Council's Scrutiny Procedure Rules requiring the decision to be called in for review by the relevant Scrutiny Committee.

If you have a query please contact Sue Whitehead (Tel: 07393 001213; E-Mail: sue.whitehead@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

List published 29 November 2017 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 6 December 2017				
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION		
1. Apologies for Absence	Councillor Harrod	DLG (A. Newman)		
<ul> <li>2. Declarations of Interest</li> <li>- guidance note opposite</li> </ul>	None.	DLG (A. Newman)		
guidance note opposite				
<b>3. Minutes</b> To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 17 October 2017( <b>CA3</b> ) and to receive information arising from them.	Agreed and signed.			
4. Questions from County Councillors	See attached annex.			
5. Petitions and Public Address	<ol> <li>6. Councillor Bob Johnson Councillor John Sanders</li> <li>7. Councillor Helen Evans</li> <li>8. Councillor Liz Brighouse</li> <li>9. Dave Robinson, Save Our Edge campaign Moira Green, Headteacher of Chiltern Edge School</li> <li>10. Councillor Laura Price</li> </ol>			

	List published Decisions will (unless called in) becor	129 November 2017 ne effective at 5.00pm on 6 Decembe	er 2017
RE	COMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION
For Cor	Review of Highway Maintenance Policies binet Member: Environment ward Plan Ref: 2017/105 btact: David Tole, Transition Manager (01865) 815942		
	Dinet is RECOMMENDED to: Approve the draft Highway Infrastructure Management Policy; Agree to the arrangements for sign- off of other documents as set out in the report; and Establish an Advisory Group as set out in the report.	Recommendations agreed.	DID (D. Tole)
For Cor Mar 323 Cat (a) (b)	Treasury Management Mid Term Review (2017/18) binet Member: Finance ward Plan Ref: 2017/066 btact: Donna Ross, Principal Finance hager – Treasury Pension Tel: (01865) 976 binet is RECOMMENDED to: note the report; and recommend Council to approve the revision to the Treasury Management Strategy Statement & Annual Investment Strategy 2017/18.	Recommendation agreed.	DF (D. Ross/J Turner)
For Cor Per	Transition Fund for Community Initiatives for Open Access Children's Services Round 4 Dinet Member: Local Communities ward Plan Ref: 2017/141 Ditact: Sarah Jelley, Senior Policy & formance Officer Tel: 07554 103437		ACE (S
The (a)	Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to: Approve for funding the following	Recommendations agreed.	ACE (S. Jelley)

List published 29 November 2017 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 6 December 2017				
RE	COMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION	
	bids: (a) Leys Community Church (b) Slade Nursery School			
(b)	<ul> <li>Ask that further work is conducted to develop more robust business plans and reapply for funding under the next round of applications:</li> <li>(a) Dovecote Voluntary Parent Committee</li> <li>(b) Sutton Courtney Stay and Play Group.</li> </ul>			
9.	Chiltern Edge School - Progress Report			
Forv Con	inet Member: Public Health & cation vard Plan Ref: 2017/113 tact: Roy Leach, Strategic Lead for cation Sufficiency Tel: (01865) 816458			
The (a)	Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to: note the content of the external review of progress made by the school towards addressing the weaknesses identified by Ofsted;	Recommendations agreed, together with the following additional recommendation: (e) Cabinet recognises and supports the leadership of the new headteacher and the wonderful job that parents have done for the children at the school.	DCS (R. Leach)	
(b)	note the progress made by the school in creating an in year balanced budget;			
(c)	note progress made in identifying an appropriate academy sponsor for the school;			
(d)	resolve not to publish a statutory notice proposing closure of Chiltern Edge School.			
10.	Staffing Report - Quarter 2 - 2017			
Forv	<i>inet Member:</i> Deputy Leader <i>vard Plan Ref:</i> 2017/067 <i>tact:</i> Sarah Currell, HR Manager – IBC			

...Decisions... Decisions...

List published 29 November 2017 Decisions will (unless called in) become effective at 5.00pm on 6 December 2017			
RECOMMENDATIONS CONSIDERED	DECISIONS	ACTION	
Interface Tel: 07867 467793 The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to note the report.	Noted.	DHR (S, Currell)	
<ul> <li>11. Forward Plan and Future Business</li> <li>Cabinet Member: All Contact Officer: Sue Whitehead,</li> <li>Committee Services Memory Tel: 07202</li> </ul>			
Committee Services Manager Tel: 07393 001213 The Cabinet is RECOMMENDED to note the items currently identified for forthcoming meetings.	Noted.	SW/AB	

## **ITEM 4 – QUESTIONS FROM COUNTY COUNCILLORS**

Questions received from the following Members:

#### 1. Councillor Howson to Councillor Constance

"The speed humps installed 25 years ago in Kingston Road, when Honorary Alderman Dermot Roaf was county councillor for that part of North Oxford, are no longer an effective deterrent, partly due to higher ground clearances in modern commercial and private vehicles. Since the closure of Beaumont Street, after the fire at the Randolph Hotel, the St Margaret's Road and Kingston Road route to Worcester Street has become a favoured alternative route through Oxford. This has resulted in more speeding vehicles on Kingston Road, despite the traffic calming measures already in place.

Would the Cabinet Member ask officials to conduct both a speed check of traffic using Kingston Road and also to devise a scheme to reinforce the speed humps in order to deter through traffic and the users of the road that regularly ignore the speed limit. Any scheme should also aim to make the road safer for the many cyclists that currently use it."

#### Answer

"The regular monitoring of traffic flows on Kingston Road undertaken shows no obvious upward trend in recent years. However, there is no recent speed survey data so I will commit to getting this carried out. Before any commitment can be made as to whether or not to implement initiatives to help manage speed though, I would wish to see the results of this speed survey."

Supplementary: Asked whether the Cabinet Member would also consider trying to measure type and weight of vehicles using the road, Councillor Constance replied that she would see what can be done

Responding to a question from Councillor Heathcoat as Deputy Leader as to why Councillor Howson had not gone direct to officers, but brought the question to Cabinet he replied that the issue of speeding was raised at a public meeting and he would have raised the question at the Cabinet Member's delegated decisions meeting but already had a question down for answering. In view of the public interest in the issue within Councillor Howson's division, he wished to ask the question and receive an answer in a public forum.

#### 2. Councillor Emily Smith to Councillor Hudspeth

"How will elected members and members of the public be involved in the development of the Joint Spatial Plan for Oxfordshire? Given that the County, City and Districts have different community involvement policies, which body will be responsible for coordinating public engagement to ensure consultation is meaningful and the process is transparent?"

#### Answer

Appropriate decision-making arrangements for developing the Joint Statutory Spatial Plan (JSSP) have yet to be finalised; an appropriate governance structure will be jointly devised by the County and District Councils, through the Oxfordshire Growth Board.

The JSSP will be a statutory plan and therefore subject to statutory consultation. A Joint Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) will be developed in the early stage of the plan-making process; this will set out the detailed consultation plan and consultation will be required to be undertaken at the following stages:

- The scoping of evidence, in particular the Strategic Environmental Assessment
- The scoping of the key issues facing Oxfordshire and the options for addressing them
- Preferred options & emerging strategy
- The draft pre-submission (Reg19) plan ahead of the JSSP being submitted to the Inspectorate for examination

### 3. Councillor Roberts to Councillor Hudspeth

"Does the Leader of the Council consider the £150 million identified for infrastructure in the Budget to be adequate, given the current congestion on our roads, and the number of houses that are planned for Oxfordshire?"

### Answer

"The £150m funding for infrastructure, though very welcome, is a starting point in terms of being able to support Oxfordshire's growth – it will help get us through the next few years, but we have a longer term plan based around our newly agreed Oxfordshire Infrastructure Strategy which sets out what we need beyond that. The funding is spread over a 5 year period and we will be working with our City and District partners to obtain the best value for money. This is a clear indication from Central government that it recognises that Oxfordshire councils can work together to deliver infrastructure; I am confident that this will boost our chances of being successful in the future."

Supplementary: Councillor Roberts enquired what level of funding was required given the £150m was referred to as a starting point. Councillor Hudspeth highlighted the £7b funding made available nationally that was split between a number of agencies including Network Rail and Highways England.

Responding to a question from Councillor Heathcoat as to the figure Councillor Roberts considered adequate she replied that she did not know but that her original question was valid when it was considered that the budget of the Cambridge-Oxford Expressway was several billion pounds. Councillor Hudspeth clarified that the funding for the Expressway and the growth funding were not connected.

### 4. Councillor Roz Smith to Councillor Gray

"I am pleased that decisions on the local community fund grant applications were devolved to locality boards, although the fact that the published deadline differed from what was originally communicated for Oxford did cause unnecessary confusion. Could the cabinet member explain how, and by whom, expenditure of the grant monies will be monitored, against what was proposed in each applicant's grant application."

### Answer

"I understand you attended the Oxford Locality meeting in July when the majority of councillors agreed that a strategic approach to allocating funds in the City would be taken where possible,

and applications would be considered at the September Oxford Locality meeting. This was to ensure there was sufficient time for successful applicants to spend the money before March 2018. I also understand this approach was publicised on the Council's website, that interested community groups were notified and councillors were provided with a suggested statement to use when communicating with groups in their division. I am pleased to learn that the full £50,000 of funding has since been allocated to community groups in the City following your September Locality meeting. I appreciate some confusion may have been caused with a later deadline date published on the generic application form, however it has always been the intention to be clear to organisations intending to bid that they should be aware of the local variation.

Successful applicants are expected to comply with any monitoring request, which will include receipts detailing how money has been spent, reports and evidence of project activities, and feedback from people impacted by the project. All projects are subject to review and successful applicants are encouraged to keep the Policy Team informed of their project's progress and any setbacks incurred. Monitoring will be undertaken by the Accountable Officer Sarah Jelley post March 2018 and include a financial return from the organisations. A monitoring report will be made available for Councillors. As with any grant the County Council awards, Councillors can be assured that any unspent monies will be recovered by the County Council."

Supplementary: Councillor Roz Smith confirmed that some organisation had still worked on the later date because that was the date on the grant form. Councillor Roz Smith asked where only part of a bid was funded whether there would be a subsequent agreement on how the monies allocated were spent. He asked whether she could meet with him to discuss. Councillor Gray undertook to provide an answer